SA

Sample Adjusting LLC

VIDEO EXAMINATION REPORT
REF SAL-2026-1014-003
14 Oct 2026 14:21:00 GMT-4

## Camera Original Check — 4 of 7 failed, 1 could not be answered

These checks are heuristics. They can flag signs of editing; they cannot prove a file is original.

**File**
VID-20261014-WA0003.mp4

**Kind**
EXAMINATION ONLY

**Source**
VID-20261014-WA0003.mp4 · 6.000 s

**Examined by**
TrimSafe 2.3.0 (36), offline

**2 of 7 checks passed. 4 refused, 1 could not be answered.**

A refusal is a finding about the file's history, not proof of tampering. These checks are heuristics: they can flag signs of editing, they cannot prove or disprove originality.

### ORIGIN

**Origin: nothing declared**

✓ **AI markers** — nothing declared

Origin: nothing declared. The file carries no marker of AI generation, which is not the same as being a camera original. Absence is not clearance: generators and messaging apps strip markers.

? **Content Credentials** — no Content Credentials — could not be answered

The file carries no Content Credentials, points at a manifest kept elsewhere that was not fetched, or carries one the validator could not settle — the value says which. Absence is not clearance. A manifest says who signed and what they declared; a file without one says nothing either way, and a stripped manifest leaves no trace.

### CAMERA CHECKS

✓ **Photos edits** — n/a · from Files

The Photos library holds no edit for this video.

× **Make / Model** — No device tags · removed by WhatsApp

The file carries none of this: WhatsApp removes the camera's information when it re-saves a file, so this copy is not the camera's own file. That alone says nothing about the picture being edited.

× **QT creation date** — No capture date · removed by WhatsApp

The file carries none of this: WhatsApp removes the camera's information when it re-saves a file, so this copy is not the camera's own file. That alone says nothing about the picture being edited.

× **Encoder** — Not an Apple device

This build checks iPhone originals; the file does not name an Apple device, so its encoder is not taken for Apple's camera software. That alone is not a sign of editing — another maker's camera writes its own. Where the file names no device at all, Make / Model refuses it too.

? **Dates consistent** — needs capture date — could not be answered

With no capture date there is nothing to compare the container against. The answer is unknown — which is not the same as the dates disagreeing.

SAMPLE

✕ **GPS** — No location data · removed by WhatsApp

The file carries none of this: WhatsApp removes the camera's information when it re-saves a file, so this copy is not the camera's own file. That alone says nothing about the picture being edited.

✓ **Duration** — 6.00 s

The clip is long enough to trim.

## CONSISTENCY

### Consistency: could not be judged

What this cannot see: a re-wrap with the same parameters, and a social network's transcode, are not told apart from an original. What this cannot see: a re-encode with the same settings can pass. Shown, not yet counted: the verdict stays the camera checks and Origin until these rows are measured on genuine iPhone originals.

? **Container layout** — not an Apple recording · re-encoded by WhatsApp — could not be answered

WhatsApp re-encodes every video it saves, and writes a container of its own, so the camera's box layout is not in this copy to judge. That is expected for a WhatsApp copy, not a second sign of editing: the camera rows already say what happened to the file. What this cannot see: a re-wrap with the same parameters, and a social network's transcode, are not told apart from an original.

? **Keyframe cadence** — keyframes too close together to judge · re-encoded by WhatsApp — could not be answered

WhatsApp re-encodes every video it saves, so the camera's keyframe pattern is not in this copy to judge. That is expected for a WhatsApp copy, not a second sign of editing: the camera rows already say what happened to the file. What this cannot see: a re-encode with the same settings can pass.

| | |
|---|---|
| **Container** | ftyp mp42 · mdat · moov |
| **Keyframes** | 34 keyframes in 180 frames, mostly every 5 |

## SOURCE FACTS

| | |
|---|---|
| **Device** | missing |
| **Capture date** | missing |
| **Container date** | 14 Oct 2026 17:03:00 UTC |
| **GPS** | missing |
| **Encoder** | missing |
| **Codec** | H.264 |
| **Frame rate** | 30.00 fps |
| **Duration** | 6.000 s |

## WHERE IT CAME FROM

Matches WhatsApp's signature (file name pattern, no camera information). WhatsApp re-encodes video (about 480p standard, 720p HD) and drops the camera's QuickTime keys. Camera metadata was removed by the platform; provenance before upload cannot be established from this file. A Document send keeps the original bytes.

## ALL THE FILE'S INFORMATION

Every field the file carries, as the system's image and movie readers give it. Read from the file; nothing here was typed.

SAMPLE

**File**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Name** | VID-20261014-WA0003.mp4 |
| **Size** | 1.5 MB (1452436 bytes) |

**Container**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Brand** | mp42 |
| **Duration** | 6.000 s |

**Video track**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Codec** | avc1 |
| **Bit depth** | 24 |
| **Format name** | 'avc1' |
| **Resolution** | 848 × 480 |
| **Frame rate** | 30.000 fps |
| **Bitrate** | 1.9 Mbit/s |
| **Rotation** | 0° |

**Timecode and metadata tracks**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Timecode tracks** | 0 |
| **Metadata tracks** | 0 |

## NOTES

Observations set beside the checks. A note never refuses a file, is not one of the camera checks, and says what it cannot know.

Clock — Not worked out — the file carries no capture date.
: Travel, or a phone kept on its home time zone, explains an offset; so does a zone drawn far from the sun's time. The note cannot tell which.

Taken — Not worked out — the file carries no capture date.
: Measured against this device's clock. The file's date is whatever the camera's clock said; the note says when the file claims it was taken, not when it was.

## SHA-256 FINGERPRINTS

**Source file** VID-20261014-WA0003.mp4

```
ccf412357e5e34dfdfb553cdfd1eed8b5dd70dc5c04ca37a188375e20e92d76f
```

A fingerprint lets anyone confirm a file is byte-identical to the one this report describes. It is not a cryptographic signature and proves nothing about who made the file.

## WHAT WAS WRITTEN

**Nothing.**

This examination read the file and wrote no copy of it. The recording is exactly as it was before TrimSafe opened it.

## WHAT THIS REPORT IS

These checks are heuristics. They can flag signs of editing; they cannot prove a file is original.

This report records what the file said about itself at the moment it was read. It is not a chain of custody and it is not evidence.

This document allows printing and copying; editing is restricted. That restriction is a convention, not a seal — the fingerprints above are the part anyone can independently confirm.

SAMPLE